



From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

_	
Т	o:

OULUN PATENTTITOIMISTO BERGGREN OY AB Lentokatu 2 90460 Oulunsalo FINLANDE

2 6. 09. 2003

WRITTEN OPINION

(PCT Rule 66)

- J. J. 2005					
<i>88</i> 7		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	24/09/2003		
Applicant's or agent's file reference OP100520/JUM		REPLY DUE	within 2 / 00 months/days 34.//. from the above date of mailing		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/FI 03/00220	21/03/2003		08/04/2002		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC					
G06F17/30					
Applicant					
NOKIA CORPORATION et al.					
1. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.					
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
I X Basis of the opinion					
II Priority					
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention					
V X Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observations on the international application					
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply When? See the time limit indicated at to grant an extension, see Ru How? By submitting a written reply For the form and the language	pove. The applicant may le 66.2(d). , accompanied, where ap	propriate, by amendm	of that time limit, request this Authority nents, according to Rule 66.3.		
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.					
If no reply is filed, the international pro	eliminary examination re	port will be established	d on the basis of this opinion.		
The final date by which the international examination report must be established		s:08/0	8/2004 ·		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer	The state of the s		

Examiner

Formalities officer

(incl. extension of time limits) Tel. (+49-89) 2399 2828

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465

WRITTEN OPINION



- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- 1. In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.